Member Name	Lot/Sec# Comment		Response	Notes
Sherrie Eiszele	07/107,108,104	Has had a compliance issue with a neighboring property since January 2020 - spoke at a BOT Meeting previously requesting that members with compliance issues have progress updates. In the 515 days all that she has heard from the BOT is 'we will talk to our attorneys.' Is frustrated and disappointed that she hasn't received any information on the progress.		
		Expresses that the BOT is doing a good job with the meeting today. Has many concerns. Committees were set up a long time ago and feels that they work and are the backbone of the Riviera. And likes to see policy being reviewed. Hopes that the policies are there for the BOT member liaison. The BOT members are there in the committees to observe and report back to the BOT to be voted on. Has extreme concern regarding the compliance infractions that are present in the community seemingly indefinitely. Feels that the ad-hoc STR committee is a step in the right direction - feels that the STRs have created disaster in the community and there needs to be a 'common sense' approach with this issue and is willing to be on the committee. Has a real estate license and knows law from 50 years experience. End result goal should be to get control - it is out of control now. Feels that the quality of the Riviera is depreciating every single year instead of maintaining - everybody came here to live because it's the most beautiful place to live and the lifestyle is special but if the quality of life is not maintained, the community will end up like Tacoma. Feels that the BOT's main priority should be the support, the diligence and the enforcement of the covenants. When this BOT moves on she will grade them on how well the BOT upheld the covenants. Feels that the BOT is not achieved, the quality of life in the Riviera will be diminished and feels that the BOT		

	1			1
	,	Would like go volunteer for the STR ad-hoc Committee and would like to know how to		
		•		
		become a committee member - and not just this one but in general. Would like to give		
		appreciation for the good government measures the BOT has taken with the		
		Communication Letter and so forth. But also would like to point out a real problem -		
		three years ago I developed two houses that are now complete - at that time perk holes		
		were dug and the lots were deburushed with a mini excavator. These past practices		
		have been changed by the last BOT and is continuing to violate the rights of members		
		who want to develop their property now because the current policy is forcing members		
	ľ	to hand-cut brush. De-brushing is an absolutely necessary step in developing a		
		property. Perk holes and testing the soil is the first step and then the septic designer		
		can come up with a plan but to do that he/she needs to site the land which is		
	ļ	impossible without debrushing. Right now members are being forced to pay \$5000-		
	[:	\$10,000 more just to prepare for the septic plan that is necessary for getting a permit.		
		Feels this is ridiculous in a practical sense and is a lawsuit waiting to happen. Feels that		
	-	the last BOT was trying to stop development even though members are paying dues.		
		Has talked with White's and they have guys out clearing brush by hand. It's fine that		
		members are required to pay a deposit for a permit and that deposit may be forfeited if		
	ŀ	they don't follow policy. This could even be a revenue builder but to not allow members		
	,	who pay dues to take the necessary steps to prepare the property for septic plan - the		
		only way to get a permit, is a poor practice. There is a problem at committee level -		
	:	some committee members that have carried over from the last sitting BOT could be		
		hindering progress and really opens the Riviera up for lawsuits. Would be happy to talk		
		with anyone who would like to hear about his experiences with these issues. These past		
		practices are costing members more money and causing them to sell - giving up their		
Tom Mcarthy		island dream.	Thank you	
,	,		,	
			Dana Stirn - The Riviera has set itself up so well that we have	
			excluded ourselves from an monetary assistance. The water	
			program is looking for failing systems - and we do not qualify.	
			Nicola has information of the Internet issue. The Riviera does have	
			a rep on the AICAB that does directly interface with PC and the	
			reps at PC and meets quarterly.	
		Has been following Federal Aide coming out with the Build Back Better plan and the Fox $$	Nicola - Keeps up with ALL state and federal funding/grants and	
		Island residents who have been screaming out about their bridge repairs - asking for	Anderson Island did not qualify for the Internet program because	
	-	federal funding. Does the Riviera have a person who represents our community to	we did not have a specific project in place with dedicated funds	
		Pierce County for federal/state funding? When WA State gave out funds for the Rural	set aside. Sometimes these programs are funds matching	
],	Areas Internet Program went through, Anderson Island wasn't even on the	situations and we are not there yet. But more funding may come	
	ļ	consideration list. Suggests that the Riviera gets someone more involved with PC and	available and the AI/Riviera should consider these types of	
Susan Anderson	14/092	WA State governments and with our water dept also.	options.	
		Regarding the preparations for properties site/septic design and what type of activity is		
		allowed, is clearly stated in the covenants and should be followed until the covenants		
		have been changed. States that there is great improvement in the functioning of the		
Darrel Beck		Riv with the new BOT and Nicola.	Thank you	
			·	